Pakistan Journal of Urology
ISSN: 3005-7582 (Online) ;ISSN: 3005-7574 (Print)
Website: https://www.pju.com.pk/index.php/pju/index

Open Access

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026

Editorial

The Future of Urology: Innovation, Evidence-Based Practice, and Patient-Centered Care

Prof. Dr. Liaqat Ali
Editor-in-Chief

Keywords: Urology; Endourology; Urological oncology; Robotic surgery; Artificial intelligence; Patient-centered care; Evidence-based medicine.

Article History
Received: 20 January 2026
Revision: 22 Feb 2026
Accepted: 27 April 2026
Published:10 July 2026

Corresponding Author
Prof Liaqat Ali
Professor of Urology, Institute of Kidney Diseases, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar, Pakistan
Email: liaqat_99@yahoo.com
ORCID: 0009-0008-5012-151X

DOI: 10.69885/pju.v4i1.145

How to Cite This Article.

Ali L. The future of urology: innovation, evidence-based practice, and patient-centered care. Pak J Urol. 2026;4(1):1-3.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Urology is undergoing rapid transformation driven by advances in minimally invasive surgery, endourology, urological oncology, robotic surgery, artificial intelligence, advanced imaging, and personalized medicine. These developments are reshaping the diagnosis and management of urological diseases and creating new opportunities to improve patient outcomes. Contemporary urological practice increasingly requires integration of technological innovation with high-quality clinical evidence. Advances in ureteroscopy, percutaneous nephrolithotomy, laser technologies, robotic surgery, and image-guided interventions have substantially changed the clinical landscape. At the same time, urologists continue to face challenges including increasing disease burden, antimicrobial resistance, limited healthcare resources, unequal access to advanced technologies, and rising healthcare costs.

Evidence-Based Urological Practice

High-quality research remains the foundation of modern urological practice. Randomized clinical trials, prospective cohort studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and well-designed observational studies provide the evidence required for clinical decision-making. Contemporary urological guidelines increasingly emphasize evidence-based risk stratification and individualized treatment selection across major areas of the specialty [1,2].

Urological journals therefore have an important responsibility to promote methodologically rigorous research, transparent reporting, appropriate statistical analysis, ethical scientific conduct, and clinically meaningful outcomes. Research from low- and middle-income countries is particularly important because disease patterns, healthcare infrastructure, treatment accessibility, and patient outcomes may differ substantially from those reported in high-income settings.

Technological Innovation in Urology

Technological progress has become a major driver of contemporary urological practice. Advances in laser technology, flexible ureteroscopy, robotic-assisted surgery, three-dimensional imaging, artificial intelligence, and digital health are expanding diagnostic and therapeutic possibilities.

In endourology, advances in ureteroscopic techniques and laser lithotripsy have improved the management of urinary stone disease while allowing increasingly minimally invasive treatment strategies [3,4]. Similarly, robotic-assisted surgery has become an important component of modern urological surgery, particularly in procedures requiring precise dissection and reconstruction [5].

However, technological innovation should not replace clinical judgment. New technologies should be evaluated for effectiveness, safety, cost-effectiveness, learning requirements, long-term outcomes, and applicability across different healthcare environments.

Urological Oncology and Personalized Care

Urological oncology continues to evolve rapidly. Advances in molecular diagnostics, advanced imaging, targeted therapies, immunotherapy, and risk-based treatment strategies are increasingly allowing clinicians to individualize cancer management [6,7].The future of urological oncology will depend increasingly on multidisciplinary collaboration among urologists, oncologists, radiologists, pathologists, geneticists, and other healthcare professionals. Patient-centered decision-making should remain central, particularly when treatment options affect survival, quality of life, urinary function, sexual health, and psychosocial well-being differently.

Artificial Intelligence and Digital Transformation

Artificial intelligence should be viewed as an augmentation tool rather than a substitute for clinical judgment. Its integration into urology should be accompanied by transparent validation, patient data protection, and continuous assessment of real-world clinical benefit. Nevertheless, AI implementation requires careful attention to data quality, algorithmic bias, external validation, privacy, transparency, and accountability. Technology should support—not replace—the clinical expertise and ethical responsibility of healthcare professionals.

Challenges in Developing Healthcare Systems

Urological care in developing countries faces additional challenges, including delayed presentation, limited diagnostic facilities, shortage of trained specialists, financial barriers, inadequate referral systems, and unequal access to advanced surgical technologies.

In Pakistan and other resource-limited settings, strengthening urological services requires investment not only in equipment but also in workforce development, specialist training, research infrastructure, multidisciplinary care, and sustainable healthcare policies. Locally generated evidence is essential for developing treatment strategies appropriate for the populations being served [9].

The Role of Urological Research and Scientific Publishing

Scientific journals play a critical role in advancing the specialty by providing a platform for researchers to communicate new findings. High-quality peer review, editorial independence, research integrity, appropriate authorship, transparent conflict-of-interest disclosure, ethical research practices, and responsible reporting are essential components of credible scientific publishing [10].Our journal encourages investigators to publish clinically meaningful and methodologically sound research across the broad spectrum of urology. We particularly welcome original research, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, clinical trials, observational studies, surgical innovations, case reports, and evidence-based reviews that contribute meaningfully to urological science.

Future Directions

The future of urology will increasingly involve integrating minimally invasive surgery, artificial intelligence, precision medicine, digital health, advanced imaging, molecular diagnostics, and patient-reported outcomes. Future research should focus not only on technical success but also on long-term clinical effectiveness, quality of life, cost-effectiveness, and equitable access to care.Multicenter and international collaborations should be encouraged to generate stronger evidence and improve the generalizability of research findings. In developing countries, collaborative research networks can provide an important mechanism for addressing local urological disease burdens while contributing to global scientific knowledge.

Conclusion

The future of urology depends on integrating technological innovation, rigorous scientific evidence, ethical research, and patient-centered care. Technological advances should not be adopted solely because they are new; their value must be demonstrated through robust evidence, safety, cost-effectiveness, accessibility, and meaningful improvements in patient outcomes. For urology in Pakistan and other resource-limited settings, innovation must also be equitable and adaptable to local healthcare realities. As a journal committed to advancing urological science, we encourage high-quality research, transparent reporting, multidisciplinary collaboration, and responsible adoption of emerging technologies.

Declarations

These are acceptable for an editorial without original patient research:

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

Competing interests: The author declares no competing interests.

Funding: No external funding was received for this editorial.

Acknowledgments: None.

I would slightly improve the ethics statement to:

Authors' Contributions (ICMJE)

Prof. Liaqat Ali: Conceptualized the editorial, developed its structure and scope, reviewed and synthesized the relevant literature, drafted the manuscript, critically revised the content, approved the final version for publication, and accepts accountability for all aspects of the work.

References

1. Dahm P, Gonzalez-Padilla DA, Schünemann HJ. Evidence-based guidelines in urology: a way forward together. J Urol. 2024;212(3):501-503. doi:10.1097/JU.0000000000004062.

2. Nolazco JI. Future of urology: embracing patient-centered care and patient-reported outcomes. Curr Urol Rep. 2023;18(1):23. doi:10.1097/CU9.0000000000000198.

3. Dingwall A, Leighton J, Luk A, Chambers M. Ureteroscopy and lasertripsy for lower pole stones <2 cm, in situ vs displacement? A systematic review and meta-analysis. BJU Int. 2024;135(3):399-407. doi:10.1111/bju.16534.

4. Tang X, Wu S, Li Z, Wang D, Lei C, Liu T, et al. Comparison of thulium fiber laser versus holmium laser in ureteroscopic lithotripsy: a meta-analysis and systematic review. BMC Urol. 2024;24:44. doi:10.1186/s12894-024-01419-6.

5. Hong SY, Qin BL. Recent advances in robotic surgery for urologic tumors. Medicina (Kaunas). 2024;60(10):1573. doi:10.3390/medicina60101573.

6. Sindhu KS, Dovey Z, Thompson M, Nehlsen AD, Skalina KA, Malachowska B, et al. The potential role of precision medicine to alleviate racial disparities in prostate, bladder and renal urological cancer care. BJUI Compass. 2024;5(4):405-425. doi:10.1002/bco2.323.

7. Hamid AA, Sweeney CJ, Hovens C, Corcoran N, Azad AA. Precision medicine for prostate cancer: an international perspective. Urol Oncol. 2024;42(12):392-401. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2024.02.004.

8. Abid R, Hussein AA, Guru KA. Artificial intelligence in urology: current status and future perspectives. Urol Clin North Am. 2024;51(1):117-130. doi:10.1016/j.ucl.2023.06.005.

9. Ather MH, Siddiqui T. Urology training in the developing world: the trainers' perspective. Asian J Urol. 2013;12(1):64-67. doi:10.1016/j.aju.2013.07.001.

10. Yadav S. Enhancing research integrity and publication ethics: an analysis of the latest International Committee of Medical Journal Editors recommendations. Cureus. 2024;16(3):e56193. doi:10.7759/cureus.56193.